



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,392	04/02/2004	Wayne D. Young	019680-009100US	2905
20350 7590 10/31/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAMINER TRAN, TRANG U	
			ART UNIT 2622	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/31/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center"><b>Office Action Summary</b></p>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/817,392	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YOUNG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Trang U. Tran	<b>Art Unit</b> 2622	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 19, 2007 has been entered.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) in view of Rinaldi et al (US Patent No. 6,327,002 B1).

In considering claim 1, the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a pixel pipeline circuit configured to provide a pixel stream comprising digital pixel values, wherein the pixel

pipeline circuit has an input connected with a digital pixel buffer is met by the pixel pipeline 132 (Fig. 1B), 2) the claimed an encoder coupled to an output of the pixel pipeline circuit and having one or more processor elements configured to convert the pixel stream to digital sample values for a target analog signal representing the pixel stream in the target format, thereby generating a base data stream at a base sampling rate is met by the encoder 134 (Fig. 1B), 3), and 3) the claimed a digital to analog converter coupled to an output of the supersampling circuit and configured to convert the supersampled data stream to an analog output signal is met by the DAC 136 (Fig. 1B).

However, the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) explicitly does not disclose the claimed a supersampling circuit coupled to an output of the encoder and configured to generate a supersampled data stream at a supersampling rate from the base data stream, the supersampling rate being higher than the base sampling rate.

Rinaldi et al teach that the up sampling module 70 which changes the sampling frequency of the signals to match the desired output sampling frequencies (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 35 to col. 4, line 16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the up sampling as taught by Rinaldi et al into the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006])'s system in order to process the video signals to produce a plurality of different video outputs.

In considering claim 2, the claimed wherein the supersampling rate is selected so as to provide substantial attenuation of a higher frequency echo in the analog output signal, the higher frequency echo occurring in a frequency band above a baseband of the analog output signal is met by the up sampling module 70 which changes the sampling frequency of the signals to match the desired output sampling frequencies (the rate is selected from the input switching matrix 68) (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7 of Rinaldi et al).

In considering claim 3, the claimed further comprising an electromagnetic interference (EMI) filter coupled to an output of the digital to analog converter and configured to substantially attenuate frequency components of the analog output signal above a maximum frequency is met by the electromagnetic interference (EMI) filter 128, which is simply a low pass filter with a frequency cut off above about 200 MHz of the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]).

In considering claim 4, the claimed wherein the supersampling rate is selected so as to substantially attenuate an echo of the analog output signal, the echo appearing in a frequency band between a baseband of the analog signal and the maximum frequency is met by the up sampling module 70 which changes the sampling frequency of the signals to match the desired output sampling frequencies (the rate is selected from the input switching matrix 68) (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7 of Rinaldi et al).

In considering claim 5, the claimed wherein the baseband of the analog output signal is determined with reference to a baseband for a standard definition television

monitor is met by the processing the incoming video signal through plurality of output video sources (Fig. 4, col. 4, line 44 to col. 6, line 20 of Rinaldi et al).

In considering claim 6, the claimed wherein the baseband of the analog output signal is determined with reference to a baseband for a high definition television monitor is met by the processing the incoming video signal through plurality of output video sources (Fig. 4, col. 4, line 44 to col. 6, line 20 of Rinaldi et al).

In considering claim 7, the claimed wherein the encoder is further configured to respond to one or more control parameters, thereby enabling selection of one of a plurality of candidate formats as the target format is met by the input switching matrix 68 (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7 of Rinaldi et al).

In considering claim 8, the claimed wherein the plurality of candidate formats includes a standard definition television format and a high definition television format is met by input switching matrix 68 which provides the YUV data provided by the YcrCb to YUV converter 66, or selects the Y and C component digital signals 74, 76 or combination of the Y and C component digital signals and the YUV signals to the up sampling module 70 (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7 of Rinaldi et al).

In considering claim 9, the combination of the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) and Rinaldi et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the supersampling rate is substantially equal to twice the base sampling rate. The capability of using the supersampling rate is substantially equal to twice the base sampling rate is old and well known in the art. Therefore, the Official Notice is taken. It would have been

Art Unit: 2622

obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known using of the supersampling rate is substantially equal to twice the base sampling rate into the combination of the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) and Rinaldi et al's system in order to increase the quality of the video signal during sampling process.

In considering claim 10, the combination of the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) and Rinaldi et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the supersampling rate is substantially equal to four times the base sampling rate. The capability of using the supersampling rate is substantially equal to four times the base sampling rate is old and well known in the art. Therefore, the Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known using of the supersampling rate is substantially equal to four times the base sampling rate into the combination of the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) and Rinaldi et al's system in order to increase the quality of the video signal during sampling process.

In considering claim 11, the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a pixel pipeline circuit configured to provide a pixel stream comprising a first number of digital pixel values per line at a base pixel rate, wherein the pixel pipeline circuit has an input connected with a digital pixel buffer is met by the pixel pipeline 132 (Fig. 1B), 2) the claimed an encoder coupled to an output of the supersampling circuit and having one or more processor

Art Unit: 2622

elements configured to convert the supersampled pixel stream to digital sample values for a target analog signal representing the supersampled pixel stream in the target format, thereby generating a supersampled data stream at an enhanced sampling rate is met by the encoder 134 (Fig. 1B), 3), and 3) the claimed a digital to analog converter coupled to an output of the encoder and configured to convert the supersampled data stream to an analog output signal is met by the DAC 136 (Fig. 1B).

However, the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]) explicitly does not disclose the claimed a supersampling circuit coupled to an output of the pixel pipeline circuit and configured to generate a supersampled pixel stream comprising a second number of digital pixel values per line, the second number being greater than the first number, at a supersampling rate higher than the base sampling rate.

Rinaldi et al teach that the up sampling module 70 which changes the sampling frequency of the signals to match the desired output sampling frequencies (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 35 to col. 4, line 16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the up sampling as taught by Rinaldi et al into the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006])'s system in order to process the video signals to produce a plurality of different video outputs.

Claims 12-14 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 2-4, respectively.

Claims 15-16 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 7-8, respectively.



Claim 17 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 1-2 and further the claimed a pixel generator circuit configured to generate and store, in a pixel buffer, digital pixel data for a frame of an image is met by the pixels input to the pixel pipeline 132 of the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]).

Claims 18-19 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 7-8, respectively.

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 1 and 2.

Claims 21-22 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 7-8, respectively.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7358. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



October 29, 2007

Trang U. Tran  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2622